

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/666,910	09/21/2000	Anna Maria Zara	10002185-1	7529
7590 12/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Hewlett Packard Company			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Intellectual Property Administration PO Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599			3639	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary O9/666,910 ZARA ET AL.							
Art Unit Igor Borissov 3639 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Igor Borissov. (3) (2) Richard Kim. (4) Date of Interview: 16 December 2005. Type: a) \(\text{Telephonic b} \) \(\text{Video Conference c} \) \(\text{Personal [copy given to: 1)} \) applicant 2) \(\text{applicant's representative} \) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\text{Yes} \) yes e) \(\text{No.} \) If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Igor Borissov. (2) Richard Kim. (4) Date of Interview: 16 December 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
(1) Igor Borissov. (2) Richard Kim. (4) Date of Interview: 16 December 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
(2) <u>Richard Kim</u> . (4) Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2005</u> . Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,9 and 18</u> . Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews</u> .	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
Date of Interview: 16 December 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.	,						
	Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 18.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A.	Identification of prior art discussed: Mangipudi, Masters and Mathews.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>During the Interview the applicability of the prior art and possible amendment to the Claims was discussed</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
•							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required